Roughly one out of every three Latin Americans is poor (defined as not having sufficient income to satisfy their basic needs). One out of every eight is extremely poor (defined as being unable to meet their basic nutritional requirements, even if they spend all their money on food—Figure 1).

Poverty levels vary substantially among and within countries, however (Figure 2). After Haiti, Central American countries tend to have the highest poverty rates. Roughly seven out of every ten people live in poverty in Honduras and Haiti—two of the region’s poorest countries. In contrast, only about one out of every eight people lives in poverty in Chile and Barbados—two of the richest. Latin America’s two largest countries, Brazil and Mexico, have poverty rates that are similar and rank just below the regional average. But roughly half of the region’s poor live in these two countries, even though they are classified as upper-middle income by the World Bank.

Latin America’s poverty rates are lower than in most other developing regions. Rates are lower than in South Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, East Asia and the Pacific, but higher than in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. On the other hand, Latin America’s extreme poverty rates are relatively high, given the region’s level of development. Despite having GDP per capita levels (adjusted for the cost of living) that are well above those of the Middle East and North Africa, it has higher levels of extreme poverty.

How Poor and Unequal is Latin America and the Caribbean?

**Figure 1. Poverty in Latin America, 2008**

- Non-Poor: 66.8%
- Poor: 33.2%
- Extremely Poor: 12.9%

Source: ECLAC, Social Panorama 2008, Figure I.1, p. 4 (Spanish edition).

Note: Figure refers to: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Dominican Republic, Uruguay, Venezuela and Haiti.

**Figure 2. Poverty Rates, by Country, 2007**


Note: Data are for closest year available to year listed. Data for Argentina, Cuba, and Uruguay are for urban areas only. Data for Cuba refers to “population at risk of poverty”.
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Poverty has only recently begun to decline
After remaining relatively unchanged for over two decades, the region’s poverty rate has dropped recently—from 44% in 2002 to 33% by 2008—and extreme poverty dropped from over 19% to under 13% (Figure 3). The United Nation’s Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC) projects that the region is on track for reaching the Millennium Development Goal of halving the 1990 extreme poverty rate by 2015. The global economic crisis, however, will almost certainly jeopardize recent progress.

Regional trends conceal widely different national experiences. While nearly every country has managed to reduce poverty since 2002, only one—Chile—has managed to lower poverty rates significantly and consistently since 1990. Many countries (Argentina, Colombia, Mexico, Peru, Dominican Republic, Uruguay and Venezuela) have mixed records, with significant increases and decreases in poverty rates. Others (Bolivia, Honduras and Nicaragua) have had relatively stagnant poverty rates, at least until recently.

How unequal is Latin America and the Caribbean?
Latin America is the most unequal region in the world (Figure 4). Based on the most commonly used indicator of income inequality, the Gini index, five of the world’s ten most unequal countries are Latin American. One of them—Brazil—accounts for nearly one-third of the region’s population. Even the most equal Latin American countries tend to be more unequal than the most unequal European countries.

Inequality in Latin America is due substantially to the extraordinary concentration of income at the top of the income scale—and its absence at the bottom. The richest fifth of Latin America’s population receives nearly three-fifths of total income, while the poorest fifth receives just 3 percent (Figure 5). In every other region of the developing world, the poor receive a higher share of total income (Figure 6). Although the extent of inequality varies a great deal, virtually every Latin American country for which we have data is highly unequal.

Inequality has declined, but not by much
Trends are at least moderately positive. Inequality has declined in nearly half the region’s countries over the past 15 years, including the two largest—Brazil and Mexico. Although limited in scope, these improvements are a welcome change for a region whose inequality has historically been high and rooted in its colonial past. On the other hand, several countries that traditionally have been less unequal than others, such as Venezuela, Costa Rica and Uruguay, have seen inequality stagnate or increase.

Grounds for optimism?
While Latin America’s recent progress is encouraging, it is hard to argue that the region has found the key to reducing its persistent poverty and inequality. Most of the gains have coincided with five years of extraordinary economic growth (which has increased employment among the poor) and an increase in the flow of remittances (which has put cash in the pockets of many poor families). The global economic crisis will almost certainly reduce growth and remittances significantly, putting progress in reducing poverty and inequality at risk.

Another part of the gain has been due to the growth of conditional cash transfer (CCT) programs, which provide a cash stipend to poor families in return for positive behaviors such as keeping children in school. CCTs have been credited with reducing poverty significantly in several of the region’s countries, including the two
largest—Brazil and Mexico. Despite their success, CCTs are at best a short-term solution and their impact on human capital is mixed. In all countries, they account at most for a relatively small proportion of social spending. But these efforts—however substantial—have had limited impact. Most experts argue that much of public spending (including social spending) is either neutral or regressive—failing to redistribute income to the poor. Public education and health services, which largely serve the poor, suffer from low quality in almost every country.

Beyond the CCTs, there is little evidence that major improvements in social policy have been crucial in bringing down poverty and inequality over the past two decades. To be sure, many governments have sought to improve social services, significantly increasing social expenditures, targeting the poor, and decentralizing programs to more accountable local governments. But these efforts—however substantial—have had limited impact. Most experts argue that much of public spending (including social spending) is either neutral or regressive—failing to redistribute income to the poor. Public education and health services, which largely serve the poor, suffer from low quality in almost every country. Thus it is hard to be optimistic. Latin America has not yet found an effective strategy for reducing poverty and inequality—or for bringing its poorest citizens into the economic and political mainstream. The region’s continued failure to promote adequate social progress places its political stability at risk, and makes it more difficult to attract the investment necessary for economic growth. Social policy in Latin America needs to be significantly revamped and strengthened.
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“Latin America has not yet found an effective strategy for reducing poverty and inequality…”

Figure 5. Distribution of Income, Latin America and the Caribbean, 2008

Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2008. Figure 1k.
Note: Region is defined by the World Bank. Data are World Bank staff estimates.

Figure 6. Poorest Fifth’s Share of Total Income, by Region, 2008

Source: World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2008. Figure 1k.
Note: Regions are defined by the World Bank. Data are World Bank staff estimates.
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